Name of Policy: Graduate student academic dishonesty					
Policy Number : 3364-77-01			TOLEDO		
Approving Officer: President					
Responsible Agent : Vice-Provost for Graduate Affairs and				Original effective date:	
Dean, College of Graduate Studies; Provost and Executive Vice President for Academic Affairs and Chancellor and				June 11, 2012	
Executive Vice President for Biosciences and Health					
Affairs/Dean of the College of Medicine					
Scope: Graduate Students					
	New policy proposal		Minor/techr policy	nical revision of existing	
\square	Major revision of existing policy		ReQ 6w 1	2 (C) Boh sy Natia term and 12 0 0 on </td <td>MCotioi0on<tisji< td=""></tisji<></td>	MCotioi0on <tisji< td=""></tisji<>

This policy supersedes and replaces all previous policies on graduate student academic dishonesty.

Academic dishonesty will not be tolerated. Among the aims of education are the acquisition of knowledge and development of the skills necessary for success as an educator or in another profession. Activities inconsistent with these aims will not be permitted. Graduate students are responsible for knowing what constitutes academic dishonesty; if students are uncertain, for example about what constitutes plagiarism or cheating, they should seek the instructor's advice.

(B) Purpose of policy

The purpose of the policy is to outline the procedures that allow graduate students to appeal an adverse decision by their college procedures of an instance of academic dishonesty.

(C) Scope

Examples of academic dishonesty include, but are not limited to:

- (1) Plagiarism, which is representing the words, ideas, or information of another person as one's own and not offering proper documentation.
- (2) Giving or receiving, prior to an examination, any unauthorized information concerning the content of that examination.
- (3) Referring to or displaying any unauthorized materials inside or outside of the examination room during the course of an examination.

written appeal to the Dean of the College of Graduate Studies.

- (a) This appeal must include a statement of the specific grounds for appeal and must be accompanied by the written explanations of decisions made at all previous levels of review.
- (b) The Dean of the College of Graduate Studies shall attempt to resolve the case by meeting or otherwise communicating with both the student and the college.
- (c) If a resolution is not achieved, the Dean of the College of Graduate Studies shall call the Committee on Academic Standing into session to hear the appeal no later than thirty (30) working days (Mondays through Fridays, not counting holidays and school breaks) after receipt of the written appeal. A quorum of one-half of Committee members must be present to hear the appeal. Any member of the Committee who has firsthand knowledge of the alleged violation will be excused from the hearing panel.
- (2) The student shall be given a minimum of seven (7) working days written notice of the date, time and place of the hearing. He or she is entitled to have counsel present for advisory purposes only. The student will present the appeal and shall have the burden of establishing that the charge of dishonesty is without factual basis. He or she may call witnesses on their behalf and may question witnesses of the University. Likewise, the faculty member who is presenting the Charge may question witnesses testifying on the student's behalf. The Committee may question any witness and request relevant documentation, which is not otherwise provided.
- (3) At the conclusion of the hearing or within fourteen (14) working days thereafter, the Committee shall issue its decision and state the grounds therefore. Both the student and the faculty member shall receive a copy of the decision, and a copy shall be formally communicated to the Dean of the College of Graduate Studies
- (4) Procedural Error: If either the student or involved professor believes the Committee decision resulted from a procedural error, the student or professor may